

Examination on Mathematics of Information

August 16, 2023

- Do not turn this page before the official start of the exam.
- The problem statements consist of 6 pages including this page. Please verify that you have received all 6 pages.
- Throughout the problem statements there are references to definitions and theorems in the Handout, indicated by e.g. Definition H1 and Theorem H2.

In this problem, you will investigate quantized finite frame expansions. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $D := \{-K, -K + 1, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, K - 1, K\}$ and the quantization map

$$Q: [-K - 1/2, K + 1/2] \rightarrow D$$

$$x \mapsto \arg\min_{d \in D} |x - d|.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

By definition, Q satisfies the following inequality:

$$|Q(t) - t| \le 1/2$$
, for all $t \in [-K - 1/2, K + 1/2]$. (2)

Let $x \in \left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}K, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}K\right]^d$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq d$, and let $\mathcal{F} = \{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ be a normalized finite tight frame for \mathbb{R}^d with frame bound A > 0 and satisfying the zero-sum property (see Definition H8). Denote the analysis operator and the frame operator (see Definition H10) associated with \mathcal{F} by \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{S} , respectively. We write $x^{\mathcal{F}} := \mathbb{T}x$.

(a) (4 points) Show that $x^{\mathcal{F}} \in [-K, K]^N$.

We next introduce $\Sigma\Delta$ -modulation, a concept widely used in practice to reduce quantization errors. To this end we define $\{u_0,u_1,\ldots,u_N\}\in\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and $\{q_1,\ldots,q_N\}\in\mathbb{R}^N$ according to

$$u_0 = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$q_n = Q(u_{n-1} + x_n^{\mathcal{F}}), \text{ for } n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$
 (4)

$$u_n = u_{n-1} + x_n^{\mathcal{F}} - q_n, \text{ for } n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$
 (5)

Further, we define $\tilde{x}^{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as

$$\tilde{x}^{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n \, \mathbb{S}^{-1} e_n. \tag{6}$$

- (b) (6 points) Show that $|u_n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for all $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$.
- (c) (7 points) Show that $u_N = 0$. Hint: Show that $u_N \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (d) (8 points) Let $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ be as in Definition H9. Show that

$$||x - \tilde{x}^{\mathcal{F}}||_2 \le \frac{\sigma(\mathcal{F})}{2A},\tag{7}$$

where A denotes the frame bound of \mathcal{F} (see Theorem H11).

For $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,\ldots,N\}$, $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the $N \times N$ diagonal matrix with entries $(D_{\mathcal{A}})_{ii} = 1$, for $i \in \mathcal{A}$, and $(D_{\mathcal{A}})_{ii} = 0$, for $i \in \mathcal{A}^c$, where $\mathcal{A}^c \coloneqq \{1,\ldots,N\} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. We further set $P_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \coloneqq VD_{\mathcal{A}}V^{\mathsf{H}}$ for a unitary matrix $V \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. The operator 2-norm of a matrix $C \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is $||C||_2 \coloneqq \max_{x \in \mathbb{C}^N, ||x||_2 = 1} ||Cx||_2$, where $||\cdot||_2$ stands for the 2-norm on \mathbb{C}^N .

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ be unitary matrices whose columns are denoted by $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^N$, respectively, and define $U \coloneqq AB^\mathsf{H}$. Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ be such that $|\mathcal{S}||\mathcal{T}| < \frac{1}{\mu([A\ B])^2}$, where $\mu([A\ B])$ is the coherence of $[A\ B]$, see Definition H13. The goal of this problem is to derive the following uncertainty relation:

$$||x||_2 \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 - \mu([A \ B])\sqrt{|\mathcal{S}||\mathcal{T}|}}\right) (||P_{\mathcal{S}^c}(A)x||_2 + ||P_{\mathcal{T}^c}(B)x||_2), \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$
 (8)

(a) (2 points) Show that for every $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$,

$$||P_{\mathcal{T}^c}(B)x||_2 = ||P_{\mathcal{T}^c}(A)Ux||_2.$$

(b) (5 points) Assume that $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$ is such that $\operatorname{supp}(A^H x) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Show that

$$||P_{\mathcal{T}^c}(B)x||_2 \ge (1 - ||P_{\mathcal{T}}(A)UP_{\mathcal{S}}(A)||_2)||P_{\mathcal{S}}(A)x||_2.$$

Hint: Use the result from subproblem (a) and the reverse triangle inequality.

(c) (6 points) Show that for every $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$, it holds that

$$||x||_2 \le \frac{||P_{\mathcal{S}^c}(A)x||_2 + ||P_{\mathcal{T}^c}(B)x||_2}{1 - ||P_{\mathcal{T}}(A)UP_{\mathcal{S}}(A)||_2} + ||P_{\mathcal{S}^c}(A)x||_2.$$

Hint: Use the result from subproblem (b).

(d) (5 points) Show that for every matrix $C \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$,

$$|||C|||_2 \le \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^N |\langle a_i, Ca_j \rangle|^2}.$$

Hint: First show that $||C||_2 \leq \sqrt{\text{Tr}(CC^{\mathsf{H}})}$.

(e) (5 points) Use the result in subproblem (d) to show that

$$|||P_{\mathcal{T}}(A)UP_{\mathcal{S}}(A)||_2 \le \mu([A\ B])\sqrt{|\mathcal{S}||\mathcal{T}|}.$$

(f) (2 points) Combine the results in subproblems (c) and (e) to derive (8).

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of real-valued, measurable functions with common domain \mathcal{X} . Further, let \mathcal{P} be a fixed probability distribution over \mathcal{X} . We consider the $L_1(\mathcal{P})$ -norm on \mathcal{F} that assigns to $f \in \mathcal{F}$ the value

$$||f||_{\mathcal{P}} := \mathbb{E}[|f(X)|],$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to the random variable X distributed according to \mathcal{P} . Throughout, we assume that $||f||_{\mathcal{P}} < \infty$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, i.e., $\mathcal{F} \subseteq L_1(\mathcal{P})$.

In this problem, we establish a sufficient condition for \mathcal{F} to be Glivenko-Cantelli with respect to the fixed distribution \mathcal{P} . The condition is formulated in terms of the so-called bracketing number of \mathcal{F} , which we define next.

Definition 1. Let $\epsilon > 0$. An ϵ -bracket $[\ell, u]$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a pair of functions $\ell, u \in L_1(\mathcal{P})$ with $\ell(x) \leq u(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$, and $\|u - \ell\|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq \epsilon$. We say that a collection of ϵ -brackets $\{[\ell_j, u_j]\}_{j=1}^m$ (with cardinality $m \in \mathbb{N}$) is an ϵ -bracket-covering of \mathcal{F} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}$ if, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there is a $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$\ell_j(x) \le f(x) \le u_j(x)$$
, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

The bracketing number $N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{P}})$ is the cardinality of an ϵ -bracket-covering of \mathcal{F} with respect to $||\cdot||_{\mathcal{P}}$ with smallest cardinality.

Throughout the problem, \mathcal{P} is fixed and all expectations $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ are understood to be with respect to \mathcal{P} . Furthermore, \mathcal{F} is such that $N_{\square}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{P}}) < \infty$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

(a) (7 points) Prove that, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}) \le N_{[1]}(2\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

Hint: Use that $f(x) \leq g(x), \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, implies \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}[g(X)].$

- (b) (16 points) Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and consider a minimal ϵ -bracket-covering $\{[\ell_j, u_j]\}_{j=1}^m$ of \mathcal{F} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}$, where $m := N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}) < \infty$. Further, let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be i.i.d. samples taken according to distribution \mathcal{P} .
 - i. (5 points) Show that, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)\right) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \le \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n u_j(X_i)\right) - \mathbb{E}[u_j(X)] + \epsilon.$$

ii. (7 points) Use the weak law of large numbers (Theorem H2 in the Handout) to prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i) \right) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \right) > 2\epsilon \right) = 0.$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\mathbb{E}[f(X)] - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i)\right) \right) > 2\epsilon \right) = 0.$$
 (9)

You may from now on assume (9) to be true without proof.

iii. (4 points) Prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i)\right) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \right| > 2\epsilon \right) = 0.$$

(c) (2 points) Prove that $N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}) < \infty$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ implies that \mathcal{F} is Glivenko-Cantelli for \mathcal{P} , i.e., that $\forall \delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i)\right) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \right| > \delta \right) = 0.$$

Let m, N, and $s \in \{1, ..., N\}$ be natural numbers. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$ with s-th restricted isometry constant δ_s (see Definition H4 in the Handout). Prove that

$$\|\Phi x\|_{2} \le \sqrt{1+\delta_{s}} \left(\|x\|_{2} + \frac{\|x\|_{1}}{\sqrt{s}} \right),$$

where the norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are specified in Definition H3 in the Handout.

Hint: This question is difficult. It might be useful to first prove that, for $u \in \mathbb{C}^s$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^s$,

$$if \max_{i=1,\dots,s} |u_i| \le \min_{i=1,\dots,s} |v_i|, \quad then \ \|u\|_2 \le \frac{\|v\|_1}{\sqrt{s}},$$
 (10)

and then write x as a sum of disjoint (in terms of their support) s-sparse vectors, the norms of which can be bounded individually using (10). You will get credit for partial results if the ideas are exposed in a clear manner.