

Mathematics of Information

The Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

These notes are based on [1, Chap. 1].

1 Failure of the Fourier integral for the sinc function

The Fourier transform on \mathbb{R} is usually introduced as an operator mapping a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to another function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the integral

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)e^{-2\pi i\omega x} dx, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

without paying too much attention to the sense in which the integral on the right-hand side of (1) is defined. To see why we can run into trouble if we are not being careful, we consider the following example:

Examples. 1. Let $f(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}$ be the normalized sinc function, whose Fourier transform is commonly known to be the rectangular function $\mathbf{1}_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}(\omega)$. Suppose we wish to verify this at $\omega = 0$ by using the formula (1). In other words, we wish to evaluate

$$\hat{f}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} dx. \quad (2)$$

We split the domain of integration into sets $S_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \geq 0\}$ and $S_- = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) < 0\}$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_+} f(x) dx &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2n}^{2n+1} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} dx + \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 \int_{2n-1}^{2n} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} dx \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2n}^{2n+1} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} dx \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2n}^{2n+1} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{(2n+1)\pi} dx \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2/\pi}{(2n+1)\pi} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, on S_- we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_-} f(x) dx &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2n+1}^{2n+2} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} dx \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2n+1}^{2n+2} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{(2n+2)\pi} dx \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{-2/\pi}{(2n+2)\pi} = -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

We could now try to evaluate

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)dx = \int_{S_+} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} dx + \int_{S_-} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} dx = \infty - \infty, \quad (3)$$

which is meaningless.

2. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an absolutely integrable function, that is $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Define the sets $S_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \geq 0\}$ and $S_- = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) < 0\}$ as in the previous example. Then

$$\int_{S_+} f(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{S_+}(x)|f(x)|dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|dx = \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$$

and

$$\int_{S_-} f(x)dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{S_-}(x)|f(x)|dx \geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|dx = -\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} > -\infty,$$

so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx = \int_{S_+} f(x)dx + \int_{S_-} f(x)dx \quad (4)$$

is a sum of finite numbers. We see that a pathology such as (3) cannot happen if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

The above examples suggest that it might be a bad idea to try to work directly with integrals with infinite limits such as (1) when f is not in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Instead, we could interpret (1) as the limit

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R f(x)e^{-2\pi i \omega x} dx = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}(x)f(x)e^{-2\pi i \omega x} dx. \quad (5)$$

Then, as we will see in the example below, if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the function $\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}(x)f(x)e^{-2\pi i \omega x}$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, for each fixed R and ω , and so its integral is well-behaved in the sense of (4). This is true even if $f \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$, e.g., the sinc function $f(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, but not in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. It can be verified for the sinc function that the limit (5) indeed exists for any fixed ω , and can be evaluated to be $\mathbf{1}_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}(\omega)$ by using the calculus of residues.

Our goal now is to show how the Fourier transform can be defined for functions such as $f(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}$ for which the integral (1) is not well-defined. We will do this by applying the tools we developed in the first chapter to formalize the limit (5) for a general function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Through this chapter we will write \hat{f} for the Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as given by the formula (1). The Fourier transform that we will define for functions that are in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (but *not necessarily* in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$) will later be denoted by \mathcal{F} , and we call this the L^2 -Fourier transform. We will also see that the transforms $\hat{\cdot}$ and \mathcal{F} of functions in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ coincide. Before continuing, we give several more examples that illustrate the difference between the Banach space $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Examples. 1. If $[-R, R]$ is a finite interval, then $L^2([-R, R]) \subset L^1([-R, R])$, and for every $f \in L^2([-R, R])$ we have $\|f\|_{L^1([-R, R])} \leq \sqrt{2R} \|f\|_{L^2([-R, R])}$. Indeed, we can apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the Hilbert space $L^2([-R, R])$

to the functions f and $\overline{\text{sgn}(f)}$ to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^1([-R,R])} &= \left| \int_{-R}^R f(x) \text{sgn}(f(x)) \, dx \right| = |\langle f, \overline{\text{sgn}(f)} \rangle| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2([-R,R])} \|\overline{\text{sgn}(f)}\|_{L^2([-R,R])} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2([-R,R])} \left(\int_{-R}^R 1^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{2R} \|f\|_{L^2([-R,R])} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

2. If $R = \infty$, then the argument in the previous example breaks down since we may have $\|\overline{\text{sgn}(f)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \infty$. Consider the function $f(x) = \min\{1, \frac{1}{|x|}\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx &= \int_{-1}^1 1^2 \, dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1}{x^2} \, dx + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \, dx \\ &= 2 + \frac{-1}{x} \Big|_{-\infty}^{-1} + \frac{-1}{x} \Big|_1^{\infty} = 4 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)| \, dx &= \int_{-1}^1 1 \, dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1}{-x} \, dx + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} \, dx \\ &= 2 + (-\log(-x)) \Big|_{-\infty}^{-1} + \log(x) \Big|_1^{\infty} = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so $f \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore we have shown that $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \not\subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, we can show similarly that the function $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(0,1]}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ but not in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and so $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \not\subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In other words, neither of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a subset of each other $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

2 Translation, modulation, and the Fourier transform of the gaussian

For $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the following linear operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned} (T_x f)(t) &= f(t - x) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ (M_\xi f)(t) &= e^{2\pi i \xi t} f(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where T_x is called the *translation* by x , and M_ξ is called the *modulation* by ξ .

Example.

For any $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ the maps T_x and M_ξ are linear maps on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, they are invertible with inverses $T_x^{-1} = T_{-x}$ and $M_\xi^{-1} = M_{-\xi}$. We can compute for an arbitrary $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_x f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t - x)| \, dt \stackrel{t \rightarrow t+x}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| \, dt = \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ \|M_\xi f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{2\pi i \xi t} f(t)| \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| \, dt = \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

so T_x and M_ξ are linear isometries on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. A similar calculation shows that they are also linear isometries on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

A gaussian is a function of the form $f(t) = ae^{-bt^2}$, where $a > 0$, $b > 0$ are fixed real numbers. We now show that the Fourier transform of a gaussian with $b = \pi$ is the gaussian itself:

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}$ be the normalized Gaussian. The Fourier transform of φ as given by (1) is the function φ itself.

Proof. Note that $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $|\varphi(t)e^{-2\pi i\omega t}| \leq \varphi(t)$, so $\varphi(t)e^{-2\pi i\omega t}$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for every fixed ω , and thus φ has a Fourier transform defined by the integral (1). What is more, the function $-2\pi i\varphi(t)e^{-2\pi i\omega t}$ obtained by differentiating $\varphi(t)e^{-2\pi i\omega t}$ with respect to ω satisfies $|-2\pi i\varphi(t)e^{-2\pi i\omega t}| \leq 2\pi t\varphi(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and so we can integrate under the integral sign to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\omega}\hat{\varphi}(\omega) &= \frac{d}{d\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\pi t^2} e^{-2\pi i\omega t} dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left(e^{-\pi t^2} e^{-2\pi i\omega t} \right) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} -2\pi i t e^{-\pi t^2} e^{-2\pi i\omega t} dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} i \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{-\pi t^2} \right) e^{-2\pi i\omega t} dt \\ &= \left(i e^{-\pi t^2} e^{-2\pi i\omega t} \right) \Big|_{t=-\infty}^{t=+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} i e^{-\pi t^2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{-2\pi i\omega t} \right) dt \\ &= 0 - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} i e^{-\pi t^2} (-2\pi i\omega e^{-2\pi i\omega t}) dt \\ &= -2\pi\omega \hat{\varphi}(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. From this it follows

$$\frac{d}{d\omega} \left(\hat{\varphi}(\omega) e^{\pi\omega^2} \right) = \left(\frac{d\hat{\varphi}}{d\omega}(\omega) + 2\pi\omega \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \right) e^{\pi\omega^2} = 0 \cdot e^{\pi\omega^2} = 0, \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R},$$

so the function $\omega \mapsto \hat{\varphi}(\omega) e^{\pi\omega^2}$ is constant on \mathbb{R} . Therefore

$$\hat{\varphi}(\omega) e^{\pi\omega^2} = \hat{\varphi}(0) e^{\pi 0^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\pi t^2} dt = 1, \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R},$$

and so $\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = e^{-\pi\omega^2} = \varphi(\omega)$, as desired. \square

The following examples show that many operations involving T_x , M_ξ and the Fourier transform applied to φ can be carried out explicitly.

Examples. 1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We compute $\widehat{M_\xi T_x f}$ in terms of \hat{f} :

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M_\xi T_x f}(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2\pi i \xi t} f(t-x) e^{-2\pi i \omega t} dt \\ &\stackrel{t \mapsto t+x}{=} e^{-2\pi i(\omega-\xi)x} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{-2\pi i(\omega-\xi)t} dt \\ &= e^{2\pi i \xi x} e^{-2\pi i \omega x} \hat{f}(\omega - \xi) \\ &= e^{2\pi i \xi x} (M_{-x} T_\xi \hat{f})(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

so $\widehat{M_\xi T_x f} = e^{2\pi i \xi x} M_{-x} T_\xi \hat{f}$.

2. Let $\varphi(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$ be the normalized gaussian. For any given $(x, \xi), (u, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we compute the inner product $\langle M_\xi T_x \varphi, M_\eta T_u \varphi \rangle$ in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M_\xi T_x \varphi, M_\eta T_u \varphi \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2\pi i \xi t} e^{-\pi(t-x)^2} \overline{e^{2\pi i \eta t} e^{-\pi(t-u)^2}} dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\pi[(t-x)^2 + (t-u)^2]} e^{-2\pi i(\eta-\xi)t} dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\pi[\frac{1}{2}(2t-x-u)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(u-x)^2]} e^{-2\pi i(\eta-\xi)t} dt \\
&\stackrel{y=\frac{2t-x-u}{\sqrt{2}}}{=} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(u-x)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\pi y^2} e^{-2\pi i(\eta-\xi)\frac{y}{\sqrt{2}}} e^{-\pi i(\eta-\xi)(x+u)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(u-x)^2} e^{-\pi i(\eta-\xi)(x+u)} \hat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\eta-\xi}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\pi i(\eta-\xi)(x+u)} \varphi\left(\frac{u-x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{\eta-\xi}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

3 Plancherel's Theorem

We use the calculations in the previous examples to show the following Lemma which will be our first step towards defining the Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{X} = \text{span}\{M_\xi T_x \varphi : (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$. Then we have the following

- (i) \mathcal{X} is a subspace of $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$,
- (ii) The Fourier transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ is a bijection from \mathcal{X} to itself, and
- (iii) $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, for all $f \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. (i) Note that, for any $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the function $M_\xi T_x \varphi$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, since $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and M_ξ are T_x linear isometries on both of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore any linear combination of such functions is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and so $\mathcal{X} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

(ii) Now let $f = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k M_{\xi_k} T_{x_k} \varphi$ be an element of \mathcal{X} , where $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ are complex coefficients. Then

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \widehat{M_{\xi_k} T_{x_k} \varphi} = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k e^{2\pi i \xi_k x_k} M_{-x_k} T_{\xi_k} \varphi \in \mathcal{X}.$$

This shows that the image of \mathcal{X} under the Fourier transform lies in \mathcal{X} . To show surjectivity, we need to show that every $f \in \mathcal{X}$ is the Fourier transform of some element of \mathcal{X} . Let f be as above and define $g = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k e^{2\pi i \xi_k x_k} M_{x_k} T_{-\xi_k} \varphi$. Then

$$\hat{g} = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k e^{2\pi i \xi_k x_k} \cdot e^{-2\pi i \xi_k x_k} M_{\xi_k} T_{x_k} \varphi = f,$$

as desired.

(iii) We first compute for any given $(x, \xi), (u, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \widehat{M_\xi T_x \varphi}, \widehat{M_\eta T_u \varphi} \rangle &= \langle e^{2\pi i \xi x} M_{-x} T_\xi \hat{\varphi}, e^{2\pi i \eta u} M_{-u} T_\eta \hat{\varphi} \rangle \\
&= e^{2\pi i (\xi x - \eta u)} \langle M_{-x} T_\xi \varphi, M_{-u} T_\eta \varphi \rangle \\
&= e^{2\pi i (\xi x - \eta u)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\pi i (-u+x)(\xi+\eta)} \varphi \left(\frac{\eta - \xi}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \varphi \left(\frac{-u+x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\pi i (\eta - \xi)(x+u)} \varphi \left(\frac{u-x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \varphi \left(\frac{\eta - \xi}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \\
&= \langle M_\xi T_x \varphi, M_\eta T_u \varphi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $f \in \mathcal{X}$ be as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \widehat{M_{\xi_k} T_{x_k} \varphi}, \sum_{l=1}^n c_l \widehat{M_{\xi_l} T_{x_l} \varphi} \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{k,l=1}^n c_k \bar{c}_l \langle \widehat{M_{\xi_k} T_{x_k} \varphi}, \widehat{M_{\xi_l} T_{x_l} \varphi} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k,l=1}^n c_k \bar{c}_l \langle M_{\xi_k} T_{x_k} \varphi, M_{\xi_l} T_{x_l} \varphi \rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n c_k M_{\xi_k} T_{x_k} \varphi, \sum_{l=1}^n c_l M_{\xi_l} T_{x_l} \varphi \right\rangle = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. Let \mathcal{X} be as in the previous lemma. Then \mathcal{X} is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, that is $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Fix an $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and an $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty$, we have

$$\|f - \mathbb{1}_{[-R,R]} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-R,R]}(t) |f(t)|^2 dt \rightarrow 0,$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Fix an $R > 0$ large enough so that $\|f - \mathbb{1}_{[-R,R]} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \epsilon/2$. Also fix a large enough $A > 0$ so that $A > R$ and

$$\frac{2e^{-2\pi A^2}}{1 - e^{-2\pi A^2}} \left(e^{\pi R^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \epsilon \right)^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{8}. \quad (6)$$

The significance of this choice will become apparent later. Now consider the function $g(t) = \mathbb{1}_{[-R,R]}(t) f(t) e^{\pi t^2}$. Note that

$$\int_{-A}^A |g(t)|^2 dt = \int_{-A}^A \mathbb{1}_{[-R,R]}(t) |f(t)|^2 e^{2\pi t^2} dt \leq e^{2\pi R^2} \int_{-A}^A |f(t)|^2 dt \leq e^{2\pi R^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 < \infty, \quad (7)$$

so $g \in L^2([-A, A])$. Thus the function g can be approximated by its partial Fourier series on $[-A, A]$, that is, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and coefficients $(c_k)_{k=-N}^N$ such that

$$s(t) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{\pi i k t / A}$$

satisfies $\|g - s\|_{L^2([-A,A])}^2 < \epsilon^2/8$. We now bound $\|s\|_{L^2([-A,A])}$ by using (7) as follows:

$$\|s\|_{L^2([-A,A])} \leq \|g\|_{L^2([-A,A])} + \|s - g\|_{L^2([-A,A])} \leq e^{\pi R^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^2}{8}} < e^{\pi R^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \epsilon.$$

Now define the function h by

$$h(t) := s(t)e^{-\pi t^2} = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{\pi i k t/A} \varphi(t) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k M_{\frac{k}{2A}} \varphi(t),$$

so that we have $h \in \mathcal{X}$. By using the $2A$ -periodicity of s and fact that $\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f$ is zero outside the interval $[-A, A]$ we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f - h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{(2n-1)A}^{(2n+1)A} |\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}(t)f(t) - h(t)|^2 dt \\ &= \int_{-A}^A |\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}(t)f(t) - s(t)e^{-\pi t^2}|^2 dt + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{(2n-1)A}^{(2n+1)A} |s(t)e^{-\pi t^2}|^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_{-A}^A |g(t) - s(t)|^2 e^{-2\pi t^2} dt + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{(2n-1)A}^{(2n+1)A} |s(t)|^2 e^{-2\pi(2|n|-1)^2 A^2} dt \\ &\leq \|g - s\|_{L^2([-A,A])}^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} e^{-2\pi|n|A^2} \int_{-A}^A |s(t + 2nA)|^2 dt \\ &= \|g - s\|_{L^2([-A,A])}^2 + 2 \frac{e^{-2\pi A^2}}{1 - e^{-2\pi A^2}} \|s\|_{L^2([-A,A])}^2 \\ &< \frac{\epsilon^2}{8} + 2 \frac{e^{-2\pi A^2}}{1 - e^{-2\pi A^2}} \left(e^{\pi R^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \epsilon \right)^2 \\ &< \frac{\epsilon^2}{8} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{8} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line is due to (6). Therefore

$$\|f - h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|f - \mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f - h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

We have shown that an arbitrary element f of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated to arbitrary precision ϵ by an element $h \in \mathcal{X}$, and so \mathcal{X} is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. \square

With the previous two Lemmas we are finally in the position to define the Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{X} be as in Lemma 2. Then the Fourier transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ on \mathcal{X} extends to a unitary operator \mathcal{F} on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{X} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we can define $\mathcal{F}f := \hat{f}$, for $f \in \mathcal{X}$. Now let f be an arbitrary element of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 3 there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{X} such that $\|f_n - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges, we know it is a Cauchy sequence. Now, by Lemma 2 (iii) we have $\|\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}_m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f_n - f_m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\{\hat{f}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a Cauchy sequence. Since the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is complete, it follows that $\{\hat{f}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some element of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

We set $\mathcal{F}f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_n$. As $\hat{\cdot}$ and \lim are linear, it follows immediately that $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined in this way is linear. Moreover,

$$\|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_n \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{f}_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

so \mathcal{F} is an isometry. In order to conclude that \mathcal{F} is unitary, it remains to show that \mathcal{F} is surjective. Fix an arbitrary element f of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$ as before. By Lemma 2 (ii) we can find another sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that $f_n = \hat{g}_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by Lemma 2 (iii) we have

$$\|g_n - g_m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\hat{g}_n - \hat{g}_m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f_n - f_m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

so $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and so it converges to some $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, by definition of \mathcal{F} ,

$$\mathcal{F}g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{g}_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f,$$

where the limits are in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We have shown that an arbitrary $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is in the image of \mathcal{F} , and so \mathcal{F} is surjective. Thus \mathcal{F} is a surjective linear isometry on a Hilbert space, and therefore it is unitary. \square

With some extra work, it can be shown that the space \mathcal{X} in the statement of the previous theorem can be replaced with the whole of $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2 (Plancherel). The Fourier transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ on $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ extends to a unitary operator \mathcal{F} on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Thus the Fourier transform of functions f which are in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ but not in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (such as $\frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}$) can be obtained as the limit of the L^1 -Fourier transforms (as given by the formula (1)) of any functions in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that converge to f . In practice, this means that the Fourier transform can often¹ be calculated just like we did heuristically in (5):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}f(\omega) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f)(\omega) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f)^\wedge(\omega) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f)(x) e^{-2\pi i \omega x} dx \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R f(x) e^{-2\pi i \omega x} dx, \end{aligned}$$

as $\mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $R > 0$ and $\|f - \mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

References

- [1] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. Boston, MA, U.S.A.: Birkhäuser, 2001.

¹The limit is always valid, but in some cases it may be difficult to compute its exact value.