

---

# Mathematics of Information

Spring semester 2022

## Problem Set 3

---

### Problem 1 Unitary operators. ☕

Let  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- a) Show that
- $U^H U = \text{Id}$
- implies
- $U U^H = \text{Id}$
- .

Now consider the operator  $\mathcal{A} : \ell_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined as

$$(\mathcal{A}x_n)(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n e_n(t),$$

and its adjoint  $\mathcal{A}^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ell_2(\mathbb{R})$  given by

$$(\mathcal{A}^*y)_n = \left( \int_{n-1/2}^{n+1/2} y(t) dt \right)_n = (\langle y, e_n \rangle)_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where

$$e_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n - \frac{1}{2} < t < n + \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

- b) Show that
- $\mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{A} = \text{Id}$
- .
- 
- c) Show that
- $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}^* \neq \text{Id}$
- .
- 
- d) Reconsider your proof from subtask (a). Why does the same argument not work to show that
- $\mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{A} = \text{Id}$
- implies
- $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}^* = \text{Id}$
- ?

### Problem 2 Redundancy of a frame. ☕☕

Let  $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}_{k=1}^N$  be a frame for  $\mathbb{C}^M$  with  $N > M$ . Assume that the frame elements are normalized such that  $\|\mathbf{f}_k\| = 1$  for all  $k$ . The ratio  $N/M$  is called redundancy of the frame.

- a) Assume that
- $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}_{k=1}^N$
- is a tight frame with frame bound
- $A$
- . Show that
- $A = N/M$
- .
- 
- b) Now assume that
- $A$
- and
- $B$
- are lower and upper frame bounds of
- $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}_{k=1}^N$
- , respectively. Show that
- $A \leq N/M \leq B$
- .

### Problem 3 Frame expansion with noise. ☕

Let  $\{\mathbf{g}_j\}_{j=1}^M$  be a tight frame for  $\mathbb{C}^N$  ( $N \leq M$ ) with  $\|\mathbf{g}_j\| = 1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq M$ . We know that every  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  can be perfectly reconstructed from its frame expansion coefficients according to

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^M \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_j \rangle \mathbf{g}_j,$$

where  $A = M/N$ . Now, assume that the frame expansion coefficients are subject to noise:

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_j \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_j \rangle + w_j$$

where  $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^M$  are independent, zero-mean random variables with variance  $N_0$  each. After reconstruction, we obtain in this case

$$\mathbf{f}_w = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^M (\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_j \rangle + w_j) \mathbf{g}_j.$$

Compute the mean squared error (MSE) of the noisy reconstruction, defined as  $\mathbb{E}\{\|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_w\|^2\}$ . How does the MSE depend on the redundancy  $r = M/N$ ? Give an interpretation of the result.

### Problem 4 DFT as a signal expansion. ☕☕

The Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of an  $N$ -point signal  $f(n)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , is defined as

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(n) e^{-i2\pi \frac{k}{N} n}$$

Find the corresponding inverse transform and show that the DFT can be interpreted as a signal expansion in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .

### Problem 5 Weyl-Heisenberg systems. ☕☕☕

For this problem we use the two-indices notation  $f_{x,y}$  to denote time-frequency shifts, that is, if  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a function and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we shall write  $f_{x,y}(t) = e^{2\pi i y t} f(t - x)$ .

You may use — without proof — that for all  $f, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  the function  $(x, y) \mapsto \langle f, h_{x,y} \rangle$  is an  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  function, that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\langle f, h_{x,y} \rangle|^2 dx dy < \infty.$$

Furthermore, you may also use — without proof — the following identity:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle f, g_{x,y} \rangle \overline{\langle u, v_{x,y} \rangle} dx dy = \langle f, u \rangle \overline{\langle g, v \rangle} \quad \text{for all } f, g, u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (\text{IR})$$

- a) Consider a Weyl-Heisenberg system  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_{mT, nF}\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with time-frequency parameters  $T > 0$  and  $F > 0$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the corresponding frame operator and  $\tilde{g} = \mathbb{S}^{-1}g$  the canonical dual function. We know that  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \{\tilde{g}_{mT, nF}\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is

the canonical dual frame to  $\mathcal{G}$ , and that the following reconstruction formula holds:

$$f = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, \tilde{g}_{mT,nF} \rangle g_{mT,nF} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Using this reconstruction formula, prove that

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, \tilde{g}_{mT+x,nF+y} \rangle \langle g_{mT+x,nF+y}, h \rangle \quad (1)$$

for all  $f, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

[Hint: Expand  $f_{-x,-y}$  using the reconstruction formula, and then take the inner product of both sides with  $h_{-x,-y}$ .]

- b) By integrating both sides of (1) over  $(x, y) \in [0, T) \times [0, F)$  for a fixed pair of functions  $f$  and  $h$ , show that  $\langle g, \tilde{g} \rangle = TF$ . Justify the validity of any manipulations you do by verifying the following absolute convergence property:

$$\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^F \int_0^T |\langle f, \tilde{g}_{mT+x,nF+y} \rangle \langle g_{mT+x,nF+y}, h \rangle| dx dy < \infty.$$

[Hint: For both calculations, rewrite the resulting expression as an integral over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which does not involve infinite summation.]

- c) Assume the following result from the lectures without proof:

**Lemma 1** Denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  a countable index set. Let  $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$  be a frame for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\{\tilde{h}_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$  its canonical dual frame. For a fixed  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $c_k = \langle z, \tilde{h}_k \rangle$  so that  $z = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} c_k h_k$ . If it is possible to find scalars  $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$  such that  $z = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} a_k h_k$ , then we must have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} |a_k|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} |c_k|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} |c_k - a_k|^2.$$

Find two distinct sets  $\{a_{m,n}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $g = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m,n} g_{mT,nF}$ , and then use the Lemma to deduce that  $TF \leq 1$ .

## Problem 6 Recovery. ☕☕☕

We consider functions of the form

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \phi(\cdot - nT), \quad (2)$$

where  $T > 0$ ,  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are such that (2) converges unconditionally in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , but otherwise arbitrary. In many cases such functions can be recovered from samples taken at integer multiples of  $T$ , even though they do not need to be bandlimited.

a) Fix  $T > 0$  and consider the function

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t < T \\ 2, & T \leq t < 2T \\ 1, & 2T \leq t < 3T \\ 4, & 3T \leq t < 4T \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3)$$

- (i) Sketch  $x$  on the interval  $[-T, 5T]$ , and show that  $x$  can be written in the form (2) by specifying suitable  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\phi$ .
  - (ii) By explicitly computing the Fourier transform of  $x$  in (3), show that this  $x$  is not bandlimited. You may use — without proof — the fact that the set of zeros of a trigonometric polynomial is discrete.
  - (iii) Note that  $x$  can be reconstructed from the samples  $\{x(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  taken at integer multiples of  $T$  (provided that  $\phi$  is known). Seeing that  $x$  is not bandlimited, explain why this does not contradict the sampling theorem (Theorem 1.4.1 in the lecture notes).
- b) Fix  $T > 0$  and let  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\{\phi(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $\phi$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\text{there exists an } \alpha > 0 \text{ s.t. } \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(nT) e^{-in\theta} \right| \geq \alpha, \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi). \quad (*)$$

Now, consider functions  $x$  of the form (2) with  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

- (i) Let  $\mathbf{x} = \{x(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Find elements  $\phi^n$  of  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \phi^n. \quad (4)$$

- (ii) Provide an expression for the coefficients  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  given  $\{\phi(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and the samples  $\{x(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . You may use — without proof — the fact that the series (4) converges unconditionally, and that  $\{x(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

## Problem 7 Haar wavelets. 🍷🍷

Consider the function  $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x < 1/2 \\ -1, & 1/2 \leq x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and set  $\psi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{-j/2} \psi(2^{-j}x - k)$ , for  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that  $\{\psi_{j,k}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  forms an orthonormal system. I.e., prove that for all  $j, k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following holds:

$$\langle \psi_{j,k}, \psi_{m,n} \rangle = \delta_{j,m} \delta_{k,n},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard inner product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\delta_{\cdot, \cdot}$  is Kronecker's delta.

*This orthonormal system can be extended to form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . You can find more details in the ‘Wavelets’ notes on the webpage.*